

the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to August 1, 2022.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection in the “Online FTZ Information Section” section of the FTZ Board’s website, which is accessible via [www.trade.gov/ftz](http://www.trade.gov/ftz).

For further information, contact Camille Evans at [Camille.Evans@trade.gov](mailto:Camille.Evans@trade.gov).

Dated: May 31, 2022.

**Andrew McGilvray,**  
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022–12081 Filed 6–3–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–489–842]

#### **Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand From the Republic of Turkey: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Final Determination of Antidumping Investigation; Notice of Amended Final Determination**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On May 26, 2022, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in *Celik Halat ve Tel Sanayi A.S. v. United States*, Consol. Court no. 21–00045, sustaining the U.S. Department of Commerce’s (Commerce) remand redetermination

pertaining to the antidumping duty (AD) investigation of prestressed concrete steel wire strand (PC strand) from the Republic of Turkey (Turkey) covering the period of investigation April 1, 2019, through March 31, 2020. Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT’s final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce’s final determination and Commerce is amending the final determination and the resulting AD order with respect to the dumping margin assigned to Celik Halat ve Tel Sanayi A.S. (Celik Halat) and all other producers and exporters of subject merchandise.

**DATES:** Applicable June 5, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ajay Menon, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0208.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On December 11, 2020, Commerce published its *Final Determination* in the AD investigation of PC strand from Turkey. Commerce assigned a dumping margin of 53.65 percent to Celik Halat and all other producers and exporters of subject merchandise.<sup>1</sup> Commerce subsequently published the AD order on PC strand from Turkey.<sup>2</sup>

Celik Halat appealed Commerce’s *Final Determination*. On February 15, 2022, the CIT remanded the *Final Determination* to Commerce, instructing Commerce to determine Celik Halat’s

estimated weighted-average dumping margin without applying section 776 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) with respect to the filing of the company’s response to sections B and C of the AD questionnaire.<sup>3</sup>

In its final remand redetermination, issued in April 2022, Commerce reopened the record for Celik Halat to submit its response to sections B and C of the AD questionnaire and used this information to calculate Celik Halat’s weighted-average dumping margin.<sup>4</sup> As a result, Celik Halat’s revised weighted-average dumping margin is 17.88 percent. The CIT sustained Commerce’s final redetermination.<sup>5</sup>

#### **Timken Notice**

In its decision in *Timken*,<sup>6</sup> as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,<sup>7</sup> the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Act, Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not “in harmony” with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a “conclusive” court decision. The CIT’s May 26, 2022 judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce’s *Final Determination*. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

#### **Amended Final Determination**

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its *Final Determination* with respect to Celik Halat as follows:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)	Cash deposit rate <sup>8</sup>
Celik Halat ve Tel Sanayi A.S. ....	17.88	17.39
All Others .....	17.88	17.39

<sup>1</sup> See *Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from Argentina, Colombia, Egypt, the Netherlands, Saudi Arabia, Taiwan, the Republic of Turkey, and the United Arab Emirates: Final Affirmative Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Critical Circumstances Determinations, in Part*, 85 FR 80001 (December 11, 2021) (*Final Determination*). Commerce later published a correction to the *Final Determination* to list the adjusted cash deposit rates after accounting for export subsidies in the companion countervailing duty investigation. See *Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from the Republic of Turkey: Notice of Correction to the Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 86 FR 11724 (February 26, 2021).

<sup>2</sup> See *Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from Argentina, Colombia, Egypt, the Netherlands, Saudi Arabia, Taiwan, the Republic of Turkey, and the United Arab Emirates: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 86 FR 7703 (February 1, 2021).

<sup>3</sup> See *Celik Halat ve Tel Sanayi A.S. v. United States*, Slip Op. 22–12, Consol. Court No. 21–00045 (CIT February 15, 2022).

<sup>4</sup> See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand*, Consol. Court No. 21–00045, dated April 1, 2022.

<sup>5</sup> See *Celik Halat ve Tel Sanayi A.S. v. United States*, Slip Op. 22–54, Consol. Court No. 21–00045 (CIT May 26, 2022).

<sup>6</sup> See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

<sup>7</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

<sup>8</sup> The cash deposit rate for Celik Halat and the companies covered by the all-others rate is adjusted for the export subsidies found for Celik Halat in the final remand redetermination of the companion countervailing duty investigation (*i.e.*, 0.49 percent). See *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand*, Court No. 21–00050, dated April 15, 2022, at 26–27 and 30–32, *aff’d* *Celik Halat ve Tel Sanayi A.S. v. United States*, Slip Op. 22–55, Consol. Court No. 21–00050 (CIT May 26, 2022).

## Cash Deposit Requirements

Commerce will issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

## Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 31, 2022.

**Lisa W. Wang,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2022–12094 Filed 6–3–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–489–501]

### Circular Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products From Turkey: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2020–2021

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on circular welded carbon steel standard pipe and tube products (CWP) from Turkey. The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2020, through April 30, 2021. Commerce preliminarily determines that the producers/exporters subject to this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable June 6, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Magd Zalok, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4162.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

<sup>8</sup> The cash deposit rate for Celik Halat and the companies covered by the all-others rate is adjusted for the export subsidies found for Celik Halat in the final remand redetermination of the companion countervailing duty investigation (*i.e.*, 0.49 percent). See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, Court No. 21–00050, dated April 15, 2022, at 26–27 and 30–32, *aff'd* Celik Halat ve Tel Sanayi A.S. v. United States, Slip Op. 22–55, Consol. Court No. 21–00050 (CIT May 26, 2022).

## Background

On July 6, 2021, based on timely requests for a review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated this administrative review,<sup>1</sup> covering 20 companies.<sup>2</sup> The sole mandatory respondent in this administrative review is Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Borusan Mannesmann) and Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S. (Istikbal) (collectively, Borusan).<sup>3</sup> On January 11, 2022, we extended the deadline for the preliminary results by 120 days to May 31, 2022.<sup>4</sup>

## Scope of the Order<sup>5</sup>

The merchandise covered by the Order is circular welded carbon steel standard pipe and tube products. For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 86 FR 35481 (July 6, 2021) (*Initiation Notice*). In the *Initiation Notice*, we inadvertently omitted the name of a company for which a review was requested, Kale Baglanti Teknolojileri San. ve Tic. A.S., and included the name of Borusan Mannesmann Pipe U.S. Inc. (BMP), Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S.' affiliated U.S. reseller, which, itself, is not an exporter or producer of the subject merchandise. On May 19, 2022, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a correction to the *Initiation Notice*, in which we identified these errors and announced a correction, by including the name of Kale Baglanti Teknolojileri San. ve Tic. A.S. and removing BMP from the list of companies covered by the initiation of an administrative review. See *Circular Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey: Correction to the Initiation Notice of the 2020–2021 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 87 FR 30453 (May 19, 2022).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, “Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey: Respondent Selection,” dated August 11, 2021. We note that in the *Initiation Notice*, there is a spelling error for Istikbal's name. Specifically, Istikbal's name should read “Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S.,” instead of “Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S.,” which is the name listed in the *Initiation Notice* based on review requests from Nucor Tubular Products Inc. (Nucor) and Wheatland Tube Company (Wheatland). Accordingly, we are correcting this spelling error for purposes of these preliminary results.

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum, “2020–2021 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Circular Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated January 11, 2022.

<sup>5</sup> *Antidumping Duty Order: Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey*, 51 FR 17784 (May 15, 1986) (*Order*).

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Circular Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey: 2020–2021” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

## Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price and constructed export price are calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>7</sup> A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as Appendix I to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

## Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Between June 16 and August 5, 2021, 15 companies timely submitted letters to Commerce certifying that they had no sales, shipments, or entries of the subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.<sup>8</sup>

With respect to Istikbal, one of the companies which certified no shipments during the POR, we continue to find it to be part of the single entity, Borusan, and we find no record evidence that warrants altering this treatment.<sup>9</sup> Therefore, because we find

<sup>7</sup> *Id.*

<sup>8</sup> See Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S.'s (Toscelik Profil) Letter, “Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipe from Turkey; Toscelik No Shipments Letter,” dated June 18, 2021; Yucel Boru ve Profil Endustrisi A.S.'s Letter, “Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipe from Turkey; Yucel No Shipments Letter,” dated June 18, 2021; Cinar Boru Profil Sanayi ve Ticaret Anonim Sirketi's Letter, “Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Turkey (A–489–501),” dated June 16, 2021; Erbosan Erciyas Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S.'s Letter, “No Shipment Certificate of Erbosan Erciyas Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (‘ERBOSAN’),” dated July 1, 2021; and Borusan Mannesmann's Letter, “Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Turkey, Case No. A–489–501: No Shipments Letter,” dated August 5, 2021. One of these 15 companies, Toscelik Spiral Boru Uretim A.S. (Toscelik Uretim), which is not subject to this review, voluntarily submitted a no shipment certification via Toscelik Profil's No Shipment Certification Letter. However, because this company is not subject to this review (*i.e.*, no party requested a review of Toscelik Uretim), we have not evaluated its no shipment claim.

<sup>9</sup> See, e.g., *Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and*